

ICG Webinar 1 – Thursday, 6 August 2015 – 19:00-20:30 UTC Chat Transcript

Jennifer Chung: (8/6/2015 14:49) Welcome to ICG Webinar 1! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:

<http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Jennifer Chung: (14:50) Livestreaming audio in all 6 UN languages and Portuguese is available for this webinar, for details please go to: <https://www.ianacg.org/iana-stewardship-transition-coordination-group-icg-to-host-webinars-in-conjunction-with-call-for-public-comment/>

Jennifer Chung: (14:52) • The Q&A session will start after the presentation of the webinar slides. When submitting a question, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>. Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of “chat”.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (14:58) Alissa & Patrik: am present, available for audio or text.

Patrik Fältström: (14:58) Thanks JJ and other ICG members present!

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (15:00) Hi everyone!

Paul Wilson: (15:00) Good morning.

Hartmut Glaser: (15:00) Hi Everyone

Markus Kummer: (15:01) Hi Everyone

Steve Crocker: (15:01) Hello, everyone

Mary Uduma: (15:01) Hello All

demi getschko: (15:01) hi!

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (15:01) Hi everyone ..

Sabine Meyer (GAC - Germany): (15:02) hey everyone!

Abdalmonem Galila: (15:03) Hi everyone

Gary Hunt - UK Government: (15:03) Good evening from London...

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (15:03) (can hear some kitchen percussion instruments...)

Gary Hunt - UK Government: (15:04) That is the preparation for the post presentation banquet!

Gary Hunt - UK Government: (15:06) Will the presentation be available for download?

Chip Sharp (Cisco): (15:07) FYI - NTIA is located within the US Department of Commerce

Chip Sharp (Cisco): (15:07) Slides are here: https://www.ianacg.org/icg-files/documents/XPL-ICAN_1510_ICG_Report_Visual_Summary_08.pdf

Jennifer Chung: (15:08) @Chip and Gary - thank you, yes that is the link for the webinar slide deck download.

Gary Hunt - UK Government: (15:08) Thanks Chip!

Patrik Fältström: (15:10) Yes, thanks Chip! ;-)

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (15:11) yes ..

Olévié [NPOC]: (15:22) Good evening from Lomé - Togo

Chip Sharp (Cisco): (15:33) Question: How will the global Policy Development Process (if at all) for Numbers change post-transition

arasteh: (15:33) Alissa,

Daniel Karrenberg: (15:33) it will not change

arasteh: (15:34) Co Chairs

arasteh: (15:34) I am just connected to adobe

arasteh: (15:34) I am on audio

Radek Bejdak: (15:34) Hi, will there be a (video) record available from this or tomorrow's webinar? Or at least minutes / report?

arasteh: (15:34) Pls explain the relation between CSC and IFR to the Public

Patrik Fältström: (15:34) Arasteh: Thanks for letting us know!

Chip Sharp (Cisco): (15:34) Question: Will the RIR's SLA be with ICANN or with PTI?

Jennifer Chung: (15:35) @Radek Bejdak - this webinar will be recorded and transcribed and when these recordings are available, they will be posted on the ICG archives here:

<https://www.ianacg.org/coordination-group/icg-archives/>

arasteh: (15:35) Also allow me at the end to make a general comment about the ICANN 54 as far as ICG and CCWG are concerned

Daniel Karrenberg: (15:35) @chris: there is a global policy development process for Internet Number policy. This transition is about (the IANA part of) the *implementation of these policies.

Alan Barrett (NRO): (15:36) RIRs SLA will be with ICANN, not with PTI. SLA will allow ICANN to subcontract to PTI.

arasteh: (15:36) Pls also clearly mention that IRP does not intend to intervene on CCTLD as they need to find a satisfactory way on how to handle the matter

Daniel Karrenberg: (15:36) So there is no plan to change the Internet number policy development process as part of this transition.

arasteh: (15:36)

Chip Sharp (Cisco): (15:36) @Daniel: re PDP, that's what I thought. Thx for confirming.

arasteh: (15:37) aLLISA

arasteh: (15:37) Alissa

arasteh: (15:38) The MoU BETWEEN IETF and ICANN should be an open process subject to community comments and empowerment

arasteh: (15:38) Deare All

arasteh: (15:39) There is no proclamation of any type of independence

arasteh: (15:39) There is a strong link between Numbers, Parameters and Protocol , on the one hand and DNS on the other hand

Chip Sharp (Cisco): (15:39) @Alan - Got it. Thx.

Lynn St.Amour: (15:40) @Kavouss, we should let Alissa complete the presentation. The MOU between the IETF and ICANN is an open process. This can be discussed offline but is most appropriately done within the Numbers OC

arasteh: (15:41) Pls not that the any action taken by ICANN in regard with MoU between IETF and CRISP (rIRE) is subject to community empowerment

arasteh: (15:41) There is no exclusion at all

arasteh: (15:41) LYNN N

arasteh: (15:41) tHANKS

arasteh: (15:42) I am just in chat and do not wish to interrupt her

arasteh: (15:42) Pls allow me to express freely my views

arasteh: (15:42) We do not need to defend any individual

arasteh: (15:43) Lynn

Lynn St.Amour: (15:43) @Kavouss, I am only respecting the process all of us in the ICG agreed to follow.

arasteh: (15:43) Pls be kind and allow the people to chat

arasteh: (15:43) Chat is chat

arasteh: (15:44) I am exactly following that process but we are all informing the public and must be clear

arasteh: (15:44) NOT EVERYBODY IS INTELLIGENT LIKE OTHERS

Jennifer Chung: (15:44) CCWG-Accountability Public Comment link: <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/ccwg-accountability-2015-08-03-en>

arasteh: (15:44) not me

Lynn St.Amour: (15:45) Chat is, of course, chat, but you were calling out specifically to Alissa while she was presenting. That was my only point.

arasteh: (15:46) I called her when Patrik was talking

arasteh: (15:46) Ok I wait until she finishes

arasteh: (15:46) We should not mislead the public

arasteh: (15:47) LYNN

arasteh: (15:47) IF YOU KNOW BETTER THAN ANY ONE

Jennifer Chung: (15:47) The Q&A session is starting now. When submitting a question, please provide your name and affiliation if you have one, start your sentence with <QUESTION> and end it with <QUESTION>. Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat".

Jari Arkko: (15:48) Kavouss: for your information, in the case of IETF, all our agreements and processes are open for the community's opinion. An example: the IANA PLAN WG directed the IETF leadership to add 2 things to the yearly SLA as a part of the transition. The details are up to the lawyers, in this case but the community does direct our work.

Jennifer Chung: (15:48) For those who wish to make vocal interventions, please use the 'hands-up' feature in Adobe Connect and you will be placed in the queue.

Jennifer Chung: (15:48) REMINDER: If you are in the Adobe Connect room and dialed into the ENGLISH phone bridge – please remember to MUTE your computer speakers before you make your vocal intervention. Thank you!

Jennifer Chung: (15:48) Participants dialed into the other language phone bridges who wish to make vocal interventions will have their question translated and broadcast into the main English line and the Adobe Connect Room.

arasteh: (15:49) Pls explain kindly the relationship and dependency between CWSC and IFR as well as between IFR and Independent Review Panel and between those and community power IRPR which is binding

Janvier Ngnoulaye: (15:51) Thanks Alan Barret

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (15:51) can't hear Alan .. is it just me?

arasteh: (15:51) I do not expect Alissa or others reply me as I know the answer myself .

Sabine Meyer (GAC - Germany): (15:51) I can hear him...

Alejandra Reynoso: (15:51) I can hear Alan

Daniel Karrenberg: (15:52) i could hear alan clearly as well

Jennifer Chung: (15:52) @Manal - are you in just in Adobe Connect or also dialed in?

Bob: (15:52) <QUESTION>Has the ICG looked at how the money would flow? That is, who pays for the PT IANA, and how the money flows? Money can have a controlling effect <QUESTION>

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (15:52) thanks Sabine, Alejandra & Daniel ..

Steve Crocker: (15:52) Very nice job! Congratulations to all involved!

Bob: (15:52) From Bob Hinden

arasteh: (15:52) ICG does not involve in any financial decision

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (15:53) @Jennifer only on adobe ..

Alan Barrett (NRO): (15:53) I am also only in adobe connect, not on the phone

Avri Doria: (15:54) Question: will the ICG have a role in resolving pending issue like the disposition of the IANA domain name and various marks.

Daniel Karrenberg: (15:54) i could hear alan both on the conference bridge and on adobe

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (15:54) Responding to the question from Bob: There are no current fees or payments associated with the performance of the IANA Functions.

Alan Greenberg: (15:54) ICANN pays for everything just as now.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (15:54) And there are no new fees or payments anticipated.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (15:55) Thanks Alissa.

Bob: (15:55) Thanks

Patrik Fältström: (15:56) I got a question privately regarding recording of this webinar.

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (15:56) To support Alan Greenberg's comment above, ICANN currently performs the IANA functions, but collects no fees for them. ICANN does collect fees from ICANN-accredited registries and registrars, which in turn helps fund the performance of the IANA functions.

Patrik Fältström: (15:56) Recordings and transcription of this webinar will be posted here once available: <https://www.ianacg.org/coordination-group/icg-archives/>

Abdalmonem Galila: (15:57) Thanks Patrik

Avri Doria: (15:57) So the Numbers proposal is the reference solution? thanks.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (15:58) @Avri: it was the only one proposed, and not opposed, so ICG is forwarding that.

arasteh: (15:58) Alissa

Alan Greenberg: (15:58) ICANN collects funds from some ccTLDs as well.

arasteh: (15:59) I raised some question in form of comment for your consideration in tomorrow's Webinar

arasteh: (15:59) Tks

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (15:59) +1 Alan, both ICANN-accredited gTLD registries/registrar and some ccTLD registries. Approximately 94% comes from gTLDs.

Avri Doria: (15:59) So if we object to moving the domain name and mark to the IETF Trust, we need to comment on that.

arasteh: (15:59) Alissa

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:00) On the IPR I recall that the IETF made a statement referencing the IPR related proposal by the RIRs

arasteh: (16:00) under any other business,\$0

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:00) Is that worth explaining?

arasteh: (16:00) I have an important point to raise

Jari Arkko: (16:00) Daniel: I can confirm that the ICG asked of IETF if we can live with the CRISP proposal. We said yes.

RussMundy-SSAC: (16:01) @Avri: Yes, that would be a useful input to the ICG public comment process

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:01) i am talking

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:01) on the bridge

Alan Greenberg: (16:01) We can't hear you!

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (16:01) @Avri further coordination need to be done .. can be done post submission as far as nothing proposed is currently in contradiction ..

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (16:01) @Alissa, Daniel's mic. is on, but his hand is not up.

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:01) move on while we resolve this

Alan Greenberg: (16:01) Unmute *7

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:02) i am unmuted on the adobe and the bridge

Jari Arkko: (16:02) Avri: the important bit is that as long as we satisfy the requirements from CRISP in the implementation phase, we are all fine. Their specific suggestion does not have to be satisfied, it could be other forms. But their requirement of not holding the IPR at the operator needs to be satisfied.

Jennifer Chung: (16:02) @Daniel- *7 to unmute on the phone bridge

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:02) i give up.

Jari Arkko: (16:02) maybe write your comment here Daniel?

Patrik Fältström: (16:03) Daniel: Type it and we will read it

arasteh: (16:03) Alissa

Avri Doria: (16:03) or someone could turn on AC talk mode for him.

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (16:03) @Alissa: while trying to solve the technical hitch, may I suggest that you ask for any other questions or comments? I know you've already said this, but may be worth repeating...

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:03) Q: Has the ICG noted that any of the other Operational communities have taken note or made statements about the IPR solution proposed by the RIRs?

arasteh: (16:03) Before ending the webinar, I have to raise a logistic point between ICG and CCWG on 16 October\$

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:04) just to further shed light on avri's questions

Jennifer Chung: (16:04) @Daniel - all your mic rights are enabled for you - if you would like to connect your computer audio to make a vocal intervention

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:05) @jennifer: i am fine for now.

arasteh: (16:05) Alissa

Jennifer Chung: (16:05) @Daniel - apologies for the audio issues, and confirmed.

arasteh: (16:06) May you kindly consider that any action taken by Rire and IETF is subject to community judgement and eventually to IRP

Avri Doria: (16:06) Q: has the IETF Trust been asked whether it is willing to widen its fiduciary responsibility beyond the IETF?

Bob: (16:06) The relevant IETF Trust minutes: <http://trustee.ietf.org/documents/Trust-Minutes-2015-06-25-01.pdf>

Jennifer Chung: (16:07) @Kavouss - please include a question tag in your questions raised <QUESTION> and they will be read out loud

Jennifer Chung: (16:07) @Kavouss - you may also raise your hand in Adobe Connect and you will be put in the queue for your questions.

Jari Arkko: (16:07) i'm not on audio

Jari Arkko: (16:08) but can answer here

Yannis li: (16:08) @Jari, your mic has been enabled

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:08) from the minutes mentioned by bob: "In accordance with Article 5.2 of the Trust Agreement the IETF Trust would be willing to hold intellectual property rights relating to the IANA function, including the IANA trademark and the IANA.ORG domain name."

Bob: (16:08) My reading of the IETF Trust definition allows it to hold IPR the benefit of the Internet.

Jari Arkko: (16:09) The details of actual agreements and arrangements regarding IPR and domains are TBD. However, in my view there has to be agreements where specific responsibilities and rights are assigned to parties (the operational communities and the IANA operator). In short yes.

Jari Arkko: (16:09) (speaking as one trustee, not representing trust officially)

Avri Doria: (16:10) thanks

Patrik Fältström: (16:10) Thanks Jari!

Patrik Fältström: (16:12) Thanks Arasteh!

Janvier Ngnoulaye: (16:13) Thanks Jari

Keith Drazek (gTLD Registries): (16:17) Thanks Alissa and Patrik for running today's Webinar!

Bob: (16:17) Thanks, very good webinar!

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:18) +! for the thanks, including the secretariat!

Hartmut Glaser: (16:18) Keith +1

RussMundy-SSAC: (16:18) +1 Daniel

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (16:18) Thanks Alissa and Patrik for the presentation and the webinar !!

Jari Arkko: (16:18) Thank you Alissa and Patrik for running this. Very nicely done.

Janvier Ngnoulaye: (16:18) ++1 Very good webinar

Paul Wilson: (16:18) Thanks Alissa and Patrik, and Secretariat folks.

Markus Kummer: (16:19) Thanks and bye-- very informative webinar!

David McAuley: (16:19) Nice webinar, thank you.

Jennifer Chung: (16:19) @Everyone - To comment on the IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal: <https://comments.ianacg.org>

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (16:19) and of course thanks to the ICG secretariat behind the scene ..

Yrjö Länsipuro: (16:19) Thanks, Alissa and Patrik

Abdalmonem Galila: (16:19) Thanks a lot Patrik , Alissa and all participants

Cheryl LangdonOrr: (16:19) thanks

Mary Uduma: (16:19) Thank you presenters, Secretariat and participants am all.

Janvier Ngnoulaye: (16:19) ++1 to All the secretariat and the tech part

Alejandra Reynoso: (16:19) Thank you!

Patrik Fältström: (16:19) The secretariat is and have been essential for us!

Jennifer Chung: (16:20) Thank you all for your participation, • Thank you for your participation in the ICG webinar. Please note the question-icg@ianacg.org email which is publicly archived will be used to receive any questions you would like to ask the ICG about the content of this webinar.

Paul Wilson: (16:23) Bad echo at the moment.

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:23) EVERYONE BESIDES PATRICK PLS MUTE NOW!

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (16:23) can everyone mute please ..

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:23) thank you

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:25) I have the fullest confidence that our charis will do their very very best to schedule the meetings without overlaps with ccwg.

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:25) But we have to realise that coordination is a two way process. :-)

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (16:25) Thank you Patrik.

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (16:26) Many thanks Patrik !!

Lynn St.Amour: (16:26) Thank you everyone!

Daniel Karrenberg: (16:26) TAHNK YOU!

Mary Uduma: (16:26) Bye

Patrik Fältström: (16:27) Thanks everyone!

Paul Wilson: (16:27) Thanks all.

Avri Doria: (16:27) thanks

Manal Ismail (GAC-ICG): (16:27) Thanks again to everyone .. Bye ..

RussMundy-SSAC: (16:27) thanks everyone - excellent job

Jaap Akkerhuis (SSAC) Akkerhuis (SSAC): (16:27) bye

Gary Hunt - UK Government: (16:27) Good night from london!

Janvier Ngnoulaye: (16:27) Bye to All