

ICG Call #15 – Wednesday, 22 April 2015 – 13:00-14:00 UTC
Chat Transcript

Jennifer Chung: (4/22/2015 08:33) Welcome to the ICG call #15! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:

<http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards>

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (08:56) Hi Jennifer

Jennifer Chung: (08:56) Hello Martin

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (08:56) did you get my mail about the need for a dial out?

Jennifer Chung: (08:58) The operator will be dialing out to you now Martin

demi getschko: (08:59) Hi Jennifer

Jennifer Chung: (08:59) Hi Demi

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (09:00) I'm now on

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (09:01) yes, a little quiet

arasteh: (09:01) good time every one

Keith Drazek: (09:01) A bit faint, Alissa

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (09:03) lot of background noise Alissa

RussMundy: (09:03) I have a fair bit of background noise on the dialin bridge

Narelle Clark: (09:03) Please can everyone not speaking go to mute, thank you.

Narelle Clark: (09:06) Thank you JJS, AC and JC - agreed re action and notes.

Daniel Karrenberg: (09:06) @sec: i believe i saw apologies from bladel on mail

Alan Barrett (NRO): (09:06) Alan Barrett joins. Sorry for connection problems.

Daniel Karrenberg: (09:08) we just set a precedent for endless changes to minutes ;-)

Narelle Clark: (09:08) No objection. Agree to approve the minutes of April 8.

Jennifer Chung: (09:13) @ Daniel - noted re James Bladel's apology.

Daniel Karrenberg: (09:19) @chair: if there is time at the end i would like to re-confirm that we do not expect substantive discussions on the cwg proposal and certainly no formal actions in buenos aires like we agreed on the previous call. we can change that of course, but we should do so in advance of the meeting and not ad-hoc during the meeting.

Jean-Jacques S.: (09:20) @Daniel +1.

Alissa Cooper: (09:21) Sure, we can discuss at the end of the call

Jari Arkko: (09:25) good question from daniel

Joseph Alhadeff: (09:26) I would empahsize the importance of Daniels question as well. BA is scheduled in advance of my need to be in Paris for OECD meetings. The role of that meeting will dictate whether I will attend in person or virtually.

Russ Housley: (09:30) Can this diagram please be sent to the ICG list?

Alissa Cooper: (09:30) Martin sent it previously

Daniel Karrenberg: (09:30) my problem is similar: i have other day-job commitments during the time of Buenos Aires and need to make sure i prioritise correctly.

Mary Uduma: (09:31) I am sorry for coming late, had other meetings. Hi All

Russ Housley: (09:31) Thanks I see that the diagram was sent yesterday

Hartmut Glaser: (09:36) I am late but now connected

Alan Barrett (NRO): (09:36) My microphone is not working. My question is whether the names community envisages that the numbers and protocol parameters communities should contract directly with PTI, or with ICANN. I think it could be made to work either way.

Jari Arkko: (09:36) sorry - i was unclear if we had already transitioned to discussion from clarifications.

Daniel Karrenberg: (09:37) i still have a clarifying question related to the CWG process and timeline

Keith ccns0: (09:37) Jari, does that mean that the IETF wishes to keep the idea of separately being able to terminate their contract with ICANN for the IANA function?

Alissa Cooper: (09:38) @Daniel ok

Jari Arkko: (09:39) martin: re: your response on my 1st point - agreed and sounds good. thanks.

RussMundy: (09:40) alissa: my questions (2) could be considered either clarifying or more general about how closely the rfp is answered

Alissa Cooper: (09:42) ok Russ

wol: (09:42) I think we shouldn't just wait until the CWG provides us with their proposal formally. Why not taking the version going on public comment for a "pre-assessment" round and post questions accordingly?

wol: (09:42) oops; wol = wolf-ulrich

Jari Arkko: (09:43) Keith: we try to do the right thing for the Internet. Including continuing with existing arrangements, ensuring stability, working with the other 2 communities to make sure we are in sync. And termination of any sort would be highly unlikely, given the excellent service Elise's team provides. But yes, we do like to keep the options provided by our existing contracts.

Russ Housley: (09:46) @Keith: Do you see a problem with the IETF keeping the current contract arrangement?

Keith Drazek: (09:50) I'm going to have to drop just before the top of the hour to Chair another call. I thought I'd give a very brief update from the CCWG Accountability. The CCWG is working very hard on advancing its work to ensure key dependencies for the CWG Transition are addressed as soon as possible. This Thursday and Friday will be 2 "intensive work days" of the CCWG Accountability and its various Work Parties. The goal is to post a high-level directional document for public comment in the very near future to ensure the ability to advance things before and during Buenos Aires. I will share more detail on the list, as warranted.

Narelle Clark: (09:51) Thanks @KeithDr

Jean-Jacques S.: (09:52) (On the VISIBLE queue, Kavouss' hand has been raised for about 5 minutes...)

Paul Wilson: (09:52) I have been trying to speak. Apparently you can't hear me.

Jari Arkko: (09:53) no, we have not heard anything paul.

Alissa Cooper: (09:53) @Paul we could not hear you

Eric Evrard: (09:53) Paul, are you using Adobe Connect to speak ?

Alan Barrett (NRO): (09:54) I think there's something wrong with the queue. I raised my hand earlier, but just before I should have reached the top of the queue, my hand was forcibly lowered.

Paul Wilson: (09:54) I had audio connection via Abode. then it crashed and i had to reconnect, but when i reconnected it would not allow me connection vie computer.

Paul Wilson: (09:54) so i am now connected via phone. and apparently i cannot be heard.

Jennifer Chung: (09:54) HI Paul I have enabled your mike - you should be able to speak

Lynn St.Amour: (09:55) +1 to Alissa and very helpful Martin. @Kavouss, wouldn't that be better after the document is published

Paul Wilson: (09:55) thanks jennifer.

Keith Drazek: (09:55) Need to drop. Apologies.

Eric Evrard: (09:55) Paul, we don't have you on the bridge.

Alan Barrett (NRO): (09:56) my hand should eb down now. I sent my question in the chat some time ago, since my microphone is not working.

Daniel Karrenberg: (09:56) we have not invited anyone from outside the ICG to speak to us yet.. we have had sufficient input from the two communities that have indeed submitted responses to us via icg members. so i support the chair in not iviting anyone up to now.

wol: (09:57) Kavouss is rigt with reference to the CWG draft proposal. I heard Martin saying similar things, and that would have been my question for clarification, too.

arasteh: (09:58) martin said IcANN WILL REPLACE ntia

arasteh: (09:58) cwg doc .page 22 said CSC WILL ASSUME THE DUTIES OF ntia

arasteh: (09:59) THERE ARE INCIONSISTENT

Keith ccns0: (10:00) Russ, not really a problem - but NTIA suggests that anything which threatens to split the IANA functions would be difficult for them to accept - so the idea that Protocols, Numbers or Names would have independent right of contract termination maybe troublesome to NTIA ?

arasteh: (10:00) IT IS A NORMAL PRACTICE THAT THOSE WORKED ON THE ISSUE SINCE MONTHS WOULD BE INVITED TO BRIEF icG

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (10:00) it says the operational responsibilities, Kavouss

arasteh: (10:00) I DO NOT SEE ANY PROBLEM TO INVITE cwg co chair for the next meeting

Lynn St.Amour: (10:00) thank you Martin. Very helpful.

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (10:00) contractual relationship is with ICANN

Russ Housley: (10:01) @Keith: NTIA does not have that assurance today, so I find it hard to accept that it be a condition for the transition

arasteh: (10:02) I STRONGLY ADVISE THAT THE CH CHAIRS OF cwg be invited to brief us

wol: (10:02) I'm open for inviting the CWG and/or CCWG chair if helpful

Jean-Jacques S.: (10:02) Bye All !

Keith ccns0: (10:02) Agree Russ - just asking

RussMundy: (10:02) @Keith - I'm not sure whether or not NTIA would be concerned about the possibility of a split of contract functions

Lynn St.Amour: (10:02) Thank you Alissa.

Daniel Karrenberg: (10:02) thanks alissa!

Keith ccso: (10:02) Cheers thanks Alissa

wol: (10:02) thanks Alissa

demi getschko: (10:02) thanks

Martin Boyle, Nominet: (10:02) thanks alissa, all

Russ Housley: (10:02) Thanks Alissa

RussMundy: (10:02) thanks alissa -well run

Narelle Clark: (10:03) Good night all. Thank you.

Hartmut Glaser: (10:03) thanks

Adriana Lazzaroni: (10:03) Thank you

Jennifer Chung: (10:04) Thank you all, ICG Call #15 is now closed.